IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3197 OF 2004

Santosh Prabhakar Naik, .. Applicant

Vs

State of Maharashtra, .. Respondent

Ku. Prafulla Y.Palande, for the applicant.

K.V.Saste, APP for the respondent.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE,J.

DATE : 18th AUGUST, 2004.

PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The applicant is seeking reduction of the bail amount and the conditions imposed by the Magistrate while enlarging him on bail by order dated 29.3.2004. By that order, the applicant was directed to furnish personal bond and surety bond of Rs.40,000/- with three sureties of Rs.15,000/- each. He was also directed to report the concerned police station between 12 noon and 1 pm until further orders. Ms Palande, learned counsel for the applicant, states that the applicant is not in a position to furnish personal bond and surety bond of Rs.40,000/- and, therefore, he could not avail bail till this date. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of the

allegations against the applicant, the operative part of the order dated 29/3/04 stands modified as follows:

3. The applicant is directed to be released on bail on his executing personal bond of Rs.20,000/- with two local sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court subject to the condition that he shall report the concerned police station every alternate date between 10 am and 11 am for a period of three months and thereafter on every Wednesday and Saturday between 10 am and 11 am until the conclusion of his trial.

. It is open for the investigating authority to apply for cancellation of bail if the applicant fails to observe the conditions imposed by this order. The application is disposed of.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.)